

Hiking in the energy landscape in sequence space: a bumpy road to good folders.

G. Tiana¹, R. A. Broglia^{1,2,3}, E. I. Shakhnovich⁴

¹*The Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, 2100 Copenhagen,
Denmark.*

²*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy.*

³*INFN, Sez. di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy*

⁴*Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138*

(May 19, 1999)

Abstract

With the help of a simple 20 letters, lattice model of heteropolymers, we investigate the energy landscape in the space of designed good-folder sequences. Low-energy sequences form clusters, interconnected via neutral networks, in the space of sequences. Residues which play a key role in the foldability of the chain and in the stability of the native state are highly conserved, even among the chains belonging to different clusters. If, according to the interaction matrix, some strong attractive interactions are almost degenerate (i.e. they can be realized by more than one type of aminoacid contacts) sequence clusters group into a few super-clusters. Sequences belonging to different super-clusters are dissimilar, displaying very small ($\approx 10\%$) similarity, and residues in key-sites are, as a rule, not conserved. Similar behavior is observed in the analysis of real protein sequences.

I. INTRODUCTION

The degeneracy of protein folding code is well-documented: many sequences exist that can fold to similar native conformations [1,2]. Besides homologs, i.e. proteins that have a clear evolutionary connection and homologous sequences (differing by a few neutral mutations) which are often (but not always) functionally related, there exist analogs, i.e. structurally similar proteins that have non-homologous sequences (with sequence identity less than 20%), unrelated functions and no evident evolutionary relation [2,3]. The analysis of the origin of analogs emphasizes the physical aspect of molecular evolution because they share common fold but not function.

An important question is whether present analogs emerged as a result of a long divergent evolution or they originated from dissimilar sequences/structures and converged to structurally homologous folds? A physical approach to address this question is to study the "topography" of the space of sequences which fold into the same target conformation. In particular, the connectivity of the space of sequences via neutral nets (i.e. single mutations that preserve the foldability into the "native" structure [4]) may be a good evidence for divergent evolution as an origin of analogs, while the presence of disconnected "isles" in sequence space would be an argument in favor of the convergent evolution origin of analogs.

The aim of the present work is to address this question rigorously by analyzing the properties of the sequence space in an exactly tractable lattice model of a protein. For this purpose, we use a simple 20-letters 3-dimensional lattice model of heteropolymers [5,6] and contact energies obtained from the statistical analysis of real proteins [7]. Good-folder sequences are characterized by a large gap δ (as compared to the standard deviation of the contact energies σ), between the energy of the sequence in the native conformation and the lowest energy (threshold E_c) of the conformations structurally dissimilar to the native one [8,6]. In other words, good folders are associated with an "order parameter" $\xi = \delta/\sigma \gg 1$ (this quantity is closely related to the z-score [9]). Because the threshold energy E_c entering in the definition of the gap depends only on the composition of the chain [10], the knowledge

of the energy landscape of the space of sequences with fixed amino acid composition enables us to select good folders and to investigate their properties. In particular, it is possible to study the space of folding-sequences within the context of ground state properties, using only equilibrium thermodynamics.

The use of the lattice model to study properties of energy landscape in sequence space has two clear advantages. First, folding properties of each sequence can be examined directly from folding dynamics simulations which are quite feasible for such models. Second all sequences designed to fold into a given conformation have the same length. This factor simplifies comparison between sequences eliminating the need to introduce of arbitrary gap/insertion penalties.

In particular, a single parameter, defined as the ratio of non-matching residues to the total number of residues (an overlap parameter) in a straightforward alignment of two sequences can serve as a natural measure of similarity between them. Using this parameter as a metric in the sequence space we show that sequences folding to the same native conformation cluster together in a rather articulated, hierarchical fashion, in the space of sequences. Such clustering has a direct evolutionary implications which we discuss at the end of this paper.

The model used in this calculation is a standard lattice model that represents amino acids as point-like beads on the vertices of a cubic lattice, connected by rigid links (covalent bonds). Different monomers interact through the nearest-neighbor (in space) Hamiltonian

$$H(\{r_i\}, \{\sigma_i\}) = \sum_{ij} B_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \Delta(r_i - r_j), \quad (1)$$

where σ_i and r_i are the kind and position of the i th residue, and $\Delta(r_i - r_j)$ is 1 if r_i and r_j are non-consecutive nearest-neighbors, and zero otherwise. The parameters $B_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$ are the contact energies between the amino acids.

As noted above, the similarity parameter $q_s^{\alpha\beta}$ between sequence α and sequence β , defined as

$$q_s^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\sigma_i^\alpha, \sigma_i^\beta), \quad (2)$$

serves as a metric in sequence space. Here $\delta(\sigma_i^\alpha, \sigma_i^\beta)$ is 1 if the i -th residue of sequence α is equal to the i -th residue of sequence β and zero otherwise. A natural parameter to describe energy surface in sequence space is the distribution

$$P(q_s) = \langle \delta(q_s - q_s^{\alpha\beta}) \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \rangle$ indicates a proper ("thermal") average over all possible pairs of sequences α and β .

To study the properties of the distribution $P(q_s)$ we have chosen the 48-mer target structure (native conformation) shown in the inset to Fig. 1. Using standard Monte-Carlo optimization in sequence space [11,12,10] we designed many sequences that have low energy in the target structure shown in Fig.1. The optimization in sequence space was carried out with constant aminoacid composition corresponding to the "average" composition of natural proteins [13]. This condition implies optimization of the sequence order parameter ξ via optimization of the gap δ keeping fixed the variance of interaction energies σ (that depends on aminoacid composition only [10]). The elementary MC move in sequence space that preserves aminoacid composition is swap of two randomly chosen residues. The acceptance of a "move" is controlled by applying a standard Metropolis criterion with "selective temperature" T that serves as a measure of a degree of evolutionary pressure towards sequences having larger energy gaps. The contact energies $B_{\sigma_i\sigma_j}$ were taken from Table 5 of ref. [7] ($\bar{B} = 0$, $\sigma = 0.3$). Folding of a typical sequence generated at $T = 0.01$ (denoted S_{48} , cf. caption Fig. 1) was studied using standard lattice Monte-Carlo folding simulations [14,6,10,11] and the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters for that sequence were determined. In the units we are considering ($RT_{room} = 0.6$ kcal/mol), the energy of S_{48} in its native conformation is $E_{nat} = -26.97$, while the value E_c of the lowest dissimilar conformation is $E_c = -21.50$, leading to a gap $\delta = 5.47$ and to an "order parameter" $\xi = 18.2$. We are thus guaranteed that S_{48} folds fast [6,11,15]. Indeed, its mean first passage time to the native conformation is $3.3 \cdot 10^6$ MC steps at the optimal folding temperature ($T_f = 0.28$). Also, any sequence S'_{48} obtained from mutations which do not alter significantly the chain composition and which,

in the native conformation, has an energy smaller than E_c , (i.e. an excitation energy lying within the gap δ) will fold [16]. A number of other designed sequences were studied with similar results for folding thermodynamics and kinetics.

The sequence design procedure was performed at different selective temperatures T , recording each time 1000 sequences with energy lower than a given threshold $E_{th} = E_c$ and separated by 1000 MC steps. From the collected sequences it was possible to calculate the distribution $P(q_s)$ at different temperatures. The results are shown in Fig. 1 for several simulation temperatures ranging from $T = 0.01$ to $T = 0.08$. The $P(q_s)$ plot recorded at $T = 0.01$ features a number of peaks the most pronounced being centered at $q_s = 0.1$, $q_s = 0.55$ and $q_s = 0.95$. The peak at $q \approx 0.55$ is not present in the $P(q_s)$ plots associated with higher evolutionary temperatures. For example, at $T = 0.08$, only two peaks are apparent, one at $q = 0.1$ and a set of smaller peaks in the high- q region.

Since our MC design sampling probes different regions of sequence space at low- and at high-temperatures, the comparison of the corresponding results allows to get insight into the nature of the energy landscape in sequence space in a wide range of energies. Apparently, the low-temperature simulation ($T = 0.01$) samples the bottom part of the energy spectrum in sequence space. The peaks of $P(q_s)$ at $q_s \approx 1$ and at $q_s = 0.55$ indicate that the low-energy part of space of designed sequences can be divided into clusters, each cluster containing sequences that differ only by a few mutations. Furthermore, within each cluster, there are 6 – 8 sites in which the associated residues are 100% conserved. These sites are the ones denoted as "hot" in the ref. [16], controlling both the folding and the aggregation [17] of proteins. The size of each cluster varies to a considerable extent but no sequence is isolated. This means that, for each sequence, it is possible to introduce a swapping of amino acids between some sites and still obtain a good folding sequence belonging to the same cluster. The maximum number of sequence changes that lead again to a sequence belonging to a cluster is about 15% of the length of the chain. If this limit is exceeded, a wider cooperative rearrangement of residues (between 40% and 60%, corresponding to the second peak in Fig. 1) is needed in order to produce a sequence which again displays an energy within the gap δ

in the native conformation. Such greater sequence rearrangements correspond to moves in the space of sequences, from one cluster to the next one.

Since all sequences belonging to a cluster are equivalent, i.e. they display $> 90\%$ overlap among them, it is possible to compare different clusters by comparing sequences chosen at random from them. In other words, each cluster behaves like a class of equivalence. From a detailed analysis of the sequences belonging to different clusters, it turns out that clusters group into "super-clusters". Clusters within each super-cluster are associated with a similarity parameter $q_s \approx 0.55$, while clusters belonging to different super-clusters have $q_s \approx 0.1$.

Within each super-cluster, "hot" sites are in the same position and the type of residues occupying each of these sites is conserved in 100% of the sequences. This fact emphasizes once more the importance of "hot" sites to govern the low-energy properties of protein sequences. Furthermore, half of the "hot" sites of sequences belonging to the first super-cluster are in the same positions as the "hot" sites of sequences of the second super-cluster and the other half move to neighboring sites. The common "hot" sites are different for residues which are very similar (meaning that the columns of MJ matrix indicating their interaction with all the other monomers, are very similar, i.e. D with E and Q, K with R). This suggests that the presence of the two super-clusters may be due to the quasi-degeneracy of these matrix elements. The substitution of a monomer in a "hot" site with a very similar one causes a small rearrangement of the other "hot" sites, and to a much larger degree the rearrangement of the other ("cold") residues. To substantiate this scenario, we have repeated the calculation with a random-generated matrix having the same distribution of elements of the MJ matrix. Because in this case all the columns of the matrix are different, we expect no super-clusters. In fact, the distribution $P(q_s)$ for this case is very similar to the distribution shown in Fig. 1, except for the absence of the peak at $q_s \approx 0.1$ (data not shown).

The schematic illustration of the low energy part of the sequence space is sketched in Fig. 2A. For each good folder sequence it is possible to mutate a few "cold" sites, up to 15%

of them and still obtain a good folding sequence. In this way one produces a cluster. If more “cold” sites are changed, there is a partial rearrangement of many other “cold” sites to keep the energy low, leading to different clusters. When a “hot” site is mutated with a similarly strongly interacting residue (if the interaction matrix allows for such a possibility), the other “hot” sites become slightly rearranged, while the “cold” sites are heavily rearranged, the net result being a new super-cluster.

An important aspect of this analysis concerns the height of the “barriers” in the sequence space. This question is closely related to the issue of connectivity of clusters via a neutral network, i.e. a set of mutations that preserve the ability of all intermediate sequences to fold into the same structure. While the question of what constitutes a viable folding sequence is a complicated one having a number of aspects (thermodynamic, kinetic and functional [18,19,4] a necessary condition for a sequence to fold into a given structure is that its energy in this structure is lower than E_c [10,11,8]. From this “minimalistic” point of view, clusters are connected by neutral networks while superclusters are not - the top of the barrier in sequence space between them lies above the level of E_c , i.e. the mutation “pathway” between superclusters passes through the “sea” of non-folding sequences. This is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2A.

The schematic representation of the structure and connectivity of the part of the sequence space sampled at higher temperatures (i.e. that fits the native structure with higher energy but still lower than E_c) is shown in Fig.2B. The main difference between $T = 0.01$ and higher temperature simulations is that the peak of $P(q_s)$ at $q_s = 0.55$ does not exist at the high temperature samplings. This result indicates that the structure of the sequence space sampled at $T = 0.08$ does not include clusters. However the superclusters remain the same with the same “hot” sites conserved in each of them. Fig. 2B(c) schematically represents the energy landscape in the sequence space obtained both from low temperature sampling and high temperature sampling. It clearly shows that there are two characteristic barriers between clusters and between superclusters. When the sampling temperature exceeds the former the structures are not observed and the simulations samples broad basins

each corresponding to a supercluster, in our terminology.

We also note that the actual histogram of $P(q_s)$ at low temperature ($T=0.01$) depends on the MC-time step with which the space is probed. In the analysis presented above it was sampled with 1000 MC step interval. Apparently the characteristic number of mutations needed to cross barrier between clusters is greater than 1000 and consequently the peak at of $P(q_s)$ at $q = 0.55$ is observed. However, increasing the sampling step to 10000 (again at $T = 0.01$) leads to the histogram of $P(q_s)$ that is qualitatively similar to that associated with higher temperature. This is fully consistent with the above picture: the typical number of mutations needed to switch between clusters at $T = 0.01$ is less than 10000 steps hence the fine picture of clusters disappears at that sampling step. Thus temperature and sampling interval appear to be complementary tools to sample the complex energy landscape in sequence space. To proceed further, the universality of these findings has to be tested against the conformation chosen as native and to the interaction matrix.

We have analyzed the distribution $P(q_s)$ for ten different compact conformations of a 48 monomers chain. In all cases the distribution $P(q_s)$ taken at low temperature $T = 0.01$ with sampling interval of 1000 mutations exhibits three peaks, one of them is centered at $q_s \approx 0.9$. The two peaks observed at lower values of q_s are always well separated from this peak by a minimum at $q_s \approx 0.7$. The position and width of the low- q_s peaks seem to be somewhat dependent on the specific conformation. This is in agreement with the interpretation we gave of the existence of these peaks, namely that they are caused by rearrangements of a substantial part of the sequence. The number of amino acids involved in these rearrangements can depend on the details of the geometry of the system and thus the degree of similarity that the mutated sequences will display with each other.

To study the dependence of $P(q_s)$ on the interaction matrix, we repeated the same calculations with a random-generated matrix (see above) and with the matrix published by Kolinski *et al.* in ref. [20]. Unlike the MJ matrix, those of ref. [20] do not display any similar columns. Consequently, the associated histogram of $P(q_s)$ shows only two peaks. They are located at $q_s = 0.55$ and $q_s = 0.95$ when simulations are run at $T = 0.01$ and

sampling each 1000 mutations. At higher temperature or longer sampling step the "left" peak moves to low- q_s values of q_s ($q_s \approx 0.2$). Furthermore, in this case for each structure, the type of residue occupying "hot" sites is unique because with the set of parameters of ref. [20] only one type of interactions (hydrophobic) can provides substantial stabilization to the hot sites. Hence, in this case, superclusters are not observed in the energy landscape in sequence space.

In the case of models containing only two kinds of residues (Hydrophobic and Polar), the assertion that E_c , the lowest boundary energy of states that are structurally different from the native one depends only on the composition of the chain, may not hold [21,22]. Therefore, in this case, it may not be possible to describe in a simple fashion the space of large-gap sequences in terms of ground-state properties. Nonetheless, it is still necessary (but now not sufficient) for designed sequences to have, in the native conformation, low energy as compared, for example, to the average energy of compact configurations. Calculations performed with the matrix elements used in ref. [23] show that $P(q_s)$ has only one peak close to $q_s = 1$. We also analyzed sequences composed of three kinds of residues. The behavior in this case is more similar to that found for 20 kinds of residues. Three seems then to be the minimum quantity of different residues necessary to have a non-trivial shape of $P(q_s)$.

Furthermore, we repeated the calculations optimizing the sequences with an algorithm that does not require the constraint of constant amino acid composition of the chain. This algorithm [24] maximizes the stability of the sequences, approximating the free energy of non-native states with a high temperature expansion. The resulting shape of $P(q_s)$ is very similar to the one shown in Fig. 1, except for the fact that the low- q peak is very small. This last feature seems to be a non physical consequence of the high temperature approximation.

An analysis of the distribution of the parameter q_s associated with real proteins was carried out by Rost in ref. [3]. The values of q_s are obtained by the comparison of pairs of sequences that fold to similar 3D structures. Sequences and structures were selected from the PDB database in a way to minimize bias due to the finiteness of the set. The function $\overline{P(q_s)}$ thus obtained displays two well defined peaks centered at $q_s = 0.08$ and $q_s \approx 1$, and

a continuum of smaller peaks in between. The overline on the distribution of q_s indicates that the results of Rost have the meaning of an average of $P(q_s)$, as defined in Eq. (2), over many different target structures.

The results of Rost for real sequences seem to match better the pattern of $P(q_s)$ obtained in our simulations at low temperature ($T = 0.01$) and at low sampling frequency of 10000 mutations or at higher temperature ($T = 0.08$). As was already stated, the energy landscape in sequence space is complex so that the way how it is sampled may affect the apparent observed correlations. Specifically, it is important to make proper weighting of close homologs. Clearly, exclusion or underweighting of homologs in protein sequence comparison would generate a highly bimodal $P(q_s)$ distribution similar to that presented in [3] while inclusion of sequence homologs would give rise to peaks at intermediate values q_s . Such peaks are noticeable in the plots of $P(q_s)$, presented in [3]. However their heights are relatively low possibly due to underweighting of close homologs in [3].

In order to achieve a better understanding of the relation between evolutionary temperature used in this study and the measure of natural evolutionary pressure, we analyzed the sequence entropy per site [25]. For each site i , entropy is defined as $S(i) = -\sum_{\sigma=1}^{20} p_{\sigma}(i) \ln p_{\sigma}(i)$, $p_j(i)$ being the probability to find a residue of kind σ in site i . The histogram of sequence entropy per site for the target structure of Fig. 1 is displayed in Fig. 3(a). At low selective temperature ($T = 0.01$, solid line) the histogram shows two distinct peaks, one corresponding to highly conserved sites (11 monomers) and one corresponding to poorly conserved sites (37 monomers). Among the 11 highly conserved sites there are those that determine the "super-cluster" ("hot" sites, see above) and participating in the partial folded intermediates [17] which, by assembling together, give rise to the folding core. At high temperature ($T = 0.10$), there is only one peak, approaching the equiprobability behavior ($S(i) = 2.99$ for each site). A transition regime between these two patterns takes place at $T = 0.08$.

This peculiar bimodal distribution of sequence entropies can be compared with real proteins. Fig.3(b) shows the distribution of sequence entropies in structurally aligned families

of proteins having Immunoglobulin (Ig) fold (a detailed explanation of how this quantity was calculated can be found in [18,26]). We see a remarkable qualitative agreement between the low-temperature ($T \leq 0.08$) model simulation results presented in Fig3(a) and that for the Ig family proteins: both show a pronounced bimodal distribution. As explained above, for the case of lattice sequences, the bimodal distribution of individual entropies is related to the complicated structure of the energy landscape in sequence space. The same feature observed in one the most populated protein families is suggestive of complex energy landscape in sequence space of real proteins.

Summing up, we find that the space of sequences that fold into the same native conformations is quite rich, being partitioned into clusters and superclusters. Sequences that belong to different clusters have little similarity despite the fact that they are able to fold into the same native conformation. Nevertheless sequences belonging to the same super-cluster (but different clusters) have the same aminoacids in a few strategic "hot" core positions [16,17]. Finally, aminoacids in core positions vary between superclusters.

These results bear striking similarity to recent findings for real proteins. In fact, the analysis presented in [18,26] revealed universally conserved core positions in several protein folds. In some cases the analogs had the same types of aminoacids in these positions despite the lack of sequence similarity anywhere else. However, in several cases correlated mutations in the core were observed which preserved strong stabilization of the core but with different sets of aminoacids. For example, in some cases strong aliphatic hydrophobic contact was replaced by disulfide bond. Another example of this behaviour is provided by aromatic rescue of glycine in β -sheets [27] where two aliphatic hydrophobic groups in cores of β -sheets can be replaced by glycine and an aromatic group without loss of stability. Using the terminology of the present paper, these situations correspond to transitions from one supercluster to another.

A non-trivial distribution of barriers translates into complex dynamics with multiple discrete time scales. In our case the results relate to evolutionary dynamics. In particular, we found that evolutionary dynamics may proceed with distinctly different rates for different

parts of a protein.

Our study may provide a meaningful "toy" model of convergent and divergent evolution. The analysis shows that transitions between clusters occur over the barriers lower than E_c . In other words, clusters may be connected by neutral networks of sequences all folding into the same native conformations, albeit with different stabilities. However, superclusters are separated by barriers that are high enough to exceed E_c at their tops (see Fig.2). This means that it is not possible to pass from supercluster to another supercluster via a neutral network. Correspondingly, sequences that belong to the same cluster could have appeared as a result of divergent evolution, while sequences that belong to different superclusters are likely to appear as a result of convergent evolution. Translating this to the language of evolutionary physical analysis of real proteins we assert that in cases when protein analogs contain different types of aminoacids in universally conserved cores they may have been the product of convergent evolution, while similar aminoacids in the core may be suggestive of divergent evolution origin of analogs.

Another possible physical evolutionary pressure that was not taken explicitly into account in our present calculations is the one towards fast folding kinetics. It was argued in [26] that such factors as the need to prevent aggregation or proteolysis may lead to effective pressure towards fast-folding sequences. However, it was shown in simulations [25,18,16,17] and for some proteins ([26], J.Clarke private communication) that the core residues conserved within clusters are also the ones that participate in nucleation and thus determine the folding rate. Therefore for such proteins, stability will be highly correlated with folding rate and evolutionary regulation of both factors may result in stabilization of the same set of contacts. However it was noted in [26] that this is not always the case: a counterexample is cold-shock protein family [28], where stability and fast-folding are likely to be determined by different sets of contacts. In these cases the evolutionary optimization of folding kinetics may result in additional conserved cluster in the nucleation region. Obviously future experimental and theoretical studies will help to identify the physical and biological reason for sequence conservation in structures of most protein families.

This work has been partially supported by the Graduate School of Biophysics, University of Copenhagen (to GT), the INFN Sez. Milano (specific project MI31), Nato (Grant CRG 94023, to RAB) and NIH (grant GM52126 to ES).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Branden and J. Tooze. *Introduction to Protein Structure*. Garland Publishing, Inc., New York, (1998).
- [2] SE. Brenner, C. Chothia, and T. Hubbard. (1997) *Curr Opin. Struct. Biol.* **7**, 369–376.
- [3] B. Rost. (1997) *Folding & Design* **2**, S19–S24.
- [4] S. Govindarajan and R. Goldstein. (1997) *Proteins: Structure Functiona and Genetics* **29**, 461–466.
- [5] E.I. Shakhnovich and A.M. Gutin. (1990) *J.Chem.Phys* **93**, 5967–5971.
- [6] A. Sali, E. Shakhnovich, and M. Karplus. (1994) *Journ. Mol. Biol.* **235**, 1614–1636.
- [7] S.Myazawa and R.Jernigan. (1985) *Macromolecules* **18**, 534–552.
- [8] R. Goldstein, ZA. Luthey-Schulten, and P. Wolynes. (1992) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **89**, 4918–4922.
- [9] J.U.Bowie, R.Luthy, and D.Eisenberg. (1991) *Science* **253**, 164–169.
- [10] EI. Shakhnovich. (1994) *Phys Rev Lett.* **72**, 3907–3910.
- [11] EI. Shakhnovich and A. Gutin. (1993) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **90**, 7195–7199.
- [12] E. Shakhnovich and A. Gutin. (1993) *Protein Engineering* **6**, 793–800.
- [13] T.Creighton. *Proteins. Structure and Molecular Properties*. W.H.Freeman & Co, N.Y., (1992).
- [14] H.J.Hilhorst and J.M.Deutch. (1975) *J.Chem.Phys.* **63**, 5153–5161.
- [15] R. Broglia, G. Tiana, , H. Roman, E. Vigezzi, and E. Shakhnovich. (1999) *Phys Rev Lett (in press)*.
- [16] G. Tiana, R. Broglia, H. Roman, E. Vigezzi, and E. Shakhnovich. (1998) *J.Chem.Phys.*

108, 757–761.

- [17] R. A. Broglia, G. Tiana, S. Pasquali, H. E. Roman and E. Vigezzi, (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 12930.
- [18] L. Mirny, V. Abkevich, and E. Shakhnovich. (1998) *Proc Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 4976–4981.
- [19] S. Govindarajan and R. A. Goldstein. (1998) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**, 5545–5549.
- [20] A.Kolinski, A.Godzik, and J.Skolnick. (1993) *J.Chem.Phys.* **98**, 7420–7433.
- [21] C. Sfatos, A.M. Gutin, and E.I. Shakhnovich. (1993) *Phys. Rev.E* **48**, 465.
- [22] J.M.Deutsch and T.Kurosky. (1996) *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **76**, 323–326.
- [23] H.Li, N.Wingreen, and C.Tang. (1996) *Science* **273**, 666–669.
- [24] M. Morrissey and EI. Shakhnovich. (1996) *Folding & Design* **1**, 391–406.
- [25] EI. Shakhnovich, VI. Abkevich, and OB. Ptitsyn. (1996) *Nature* **379**, 96–98.
- [26] L. Mirny and E. Shakhnovich. (1999) *J.Mol.Biol in press*.
- [27] J. Merkel and L. Reagan. (1998) *Folding & Design* **3**, 449–455.
- [28] D.Pearl, C.Welker, T. Schindler, K.Shroder, M.Marahiel, R.Jaenicke, and F.X. Schmid. (1998) *Nature Struct. Biology* **5**, 229–235.

FIGURES

FIG.1 The probability distribution for $q_s^{\alpha\beta}$ over 1000 sequences with energy below -25.50 found in MC minimizations at temperature $T = 0.01$ (solid line). The target conformation is displayed in the inset. The other curves correspond to $T = 0.05$ (dash-dotted), $T = 0.08$ (dotted) and $T = 0.10$ (dashed). For technical reasons, each curve at $T > 0.01$ is composed of a part calculated by recording, in the simulation, one sequence every 1000 (left peaks) and a part calculated picking up one sequence every 10 (right peaks). The designed sequence S_{48} (generated at $T = 0.01$) mentioned in the text is MKQVTPEWSHYAGPD-CMKQGTSVGQHCNIWELENILNCYFDLSAFRIR.

FIG.2 Schematic representation of the structure of the space of sequences folding into the same conformation. The calculations were carried out for a 48-mers chain making use of Miyazawa–Jernigan contact energies among the amino acids. **(A)** The space of 48-mers sequences, all folding to the same native conformation (cf. (c) or (c')) as derived from an analysis performed at very low evolutionary temperature ($T = 0.01$), resulting in the distribution $P(q_s)$ shown in (a). The main structure observed is that of superclusters (as shown in (b) and (b')) in dark grey) which are separated by potential barriers higher than E_c . Within each supercluster "hot" residues (black dots in (c) and (c')) are highly conserved. Between two superclusters the number, type and position of the "hot" residues can change to some extent, the associated change in contact energy being responsible for the large barrier shown between supercluster (b) and (b'). A supercluster is built out of a large number of clusters which are shown in light grey. Potential barriers among clusters display energies all smaller than E_c (cf. energy plots between clusters inside the supercluster (b)).

(B) The space of 48-mers sequences all folding to the same native conformation (cf. (c) and (c') in plot (A) of the figure), designed at a high evolutionary temperature ($T = 0.08$). In this case the function $P(q_s)$ shown in (a) displays only two peaks ($q_s \approx 1$ and $q_s \approx 0$). Consequently, the space of sequences is divided only in superclusters ((b) and (b')). In (c) we display a schematic representation of the evolution of the space of designed sequences,

making use of low- and high-evolutionary temperature.

FIG.3 (a) The histogram of sequence entropy per site. At low temperature ($T = 0.01$, solid line) the histogram displays two different peaks, corresponding to separation in structure into high- and low-conserved sites. At $T = 0.08$ (dashed line) a transition occurs and at higher temperatures ($T = 0.10$, dotted-dashed line) only one peak at high entropy, showing no particular conservation anywhere in the structure, is present. **(b)** The histogram of the distribution of sequence entropy for proteins having Ig fold. Sequence entropy for a position l in Ig-fold structure (we used tenascin, 1 ten as a representative protein) was calculated as $S^{across}(l) = -\sum_{i=1}^{20} p_i^{across}(l) \log p_i^{across}(l)$. To obtain this quantity we evaluated frequencies of occurrence of amino acids of each type i at each position l for all families ($p_i^{across}(l)$).







